

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/445,945	04/10/2000	WEH WOLFGANG	KKFI34.001AP	1585
7.	590 11/21/2002			•
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR 620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE SIXTEENTH FLOOR NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660-8016			EXAMINER	
			FOX, JOHN C	
NEWFORT BE	ACH, CA 92000-801	.0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	<b>!</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			1/
Office Action Summary	Application No		Ishatol
Omice Addion Cammary	Examiner	(m	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover	sheet beneath the	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	3MONTH(	S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> </ul>	, a reply within the statu fault, expire SIX (6) MO	tory minimum of thirty (30 NTHS from the mailing d	o) days will be considered timely. ate of this communication .
Status	1		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/2	8/01 , 5	8/21/02	
☐ This action is FINAL.	•		•
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,</li> </ul>			o the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims			
Claim(s)	29-45	is/are	pending in the application.
15-22 2			pondang at are approacions
Of the above claim(s)	1-26, Z7,	38-45 is/are	withdrawn from consideration
	5-26, 27,		withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are	allowed.
□ Claim(s) 30 - 3 7		is/are	allowed.
☐ Claim(s) 30 - 3 7 ☐ Claim(s) Claim(s)		is/are is/are is/are is/are	allowed. rejected. objected to.
□ Claim(s) 30 - 3 7		is/are is/are is/are are s	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) 30 - 3 7 ☐ Claim(s) Claim(s)		is/are is/are is/are are s	allowed. rejected. objected to.
□ Claim(s) 30 - 3 7 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)		is/are is/are are s	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election
□ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-9	is/are is/are is/are are s requi	e allowed.  rejected.  objected to.  ubject to restriction or election rement.
□ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-9	is/are is/are is/are are s requi	e allowed.  rejected.  objected to.  ubject to restriction or election rement.
□ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-9 is □ applicated to by the Exa	is/are is/are is/are are s requi	e allowed.  rejected.  objected to.  ubject to restriction or election rement.
□ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-9 is □ applicated to by the Exa	is/are is/are is/are are s requi	e allowed.  rejected.  objected to.  ubject to restriction or election rement.
☐ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-9 is □ applicated to by the Exa	is/are is/are is/are are s requi	e allowed.  rejected.  objected to.  ubject to restriction or election rement.
□ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-9  is	is/are is/are is/are is/are are s requi	e allowed.  rejected.  objected to.  ubject to restriction or election rement.  ed.
□ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-9 is applied as applied as applied to by the Example.  er.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § s of the priority documber)	is/are is/are is/are is/are are s requi  048.  proved □ disapprov aminer.  11 9(a)-(d). ments have been	e allowed.  rejected.  objected to.  ubject to restriction or election rement.  ed.
□ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-9 isapplicated to by the Example.  ety under 35 U.S.C. § s of the priority documber) etallitemational Burear	is/are is/are is/are is/are are s requi  948. proved □ disapprov aminer.  11 9(a)-(d). ments have been	e allowed.  rejected.  objected to.  ubject to restriction or election rement.  ed.
□ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-9 isapplicated to by the Example.  ety under 35 U.S.C. § s of the priority documber) etallitemational Burear	is/are is/are is/are is/are are s requi  948. proved □ disapprov aminer.  11 9(a)-(d). ments have been	e allowed.  rejected.  objected to.  ubject to restriction or election rement.  ed.
□ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-9 is apply awing a point of the Example.  bjected to by the Example.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § s of the priority document of the priority document of the priority are also be international Bureau	is/are is/are is/are are s requi	e allowed.  rejected.  objected to.  ubject to restriction or election rement.  ed.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)  Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority and all Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. The received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the certified copies not received:  **Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	awing Review, PTO-9 is apply awing a point of the Example.  bjected to by the Example.  ty under 35 U.S.C. § s of the priority document of the priority document of the priority are also be international Bureau	is/are is/are is/are is/are are s requi  948. proved □ disapprov aminer.  11 9(a)-(d). ments have been  u (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a))	e allowed.  rejected.  objected to.  ubject to restriction or election rement.  ed.

Serial Number: 09/445945 -2-

Art Unit: 3753

This action is responsive to the telephone interview of August 21, 2002 with Mr. Arno.

Applicant's argument that the details of the valve is not essential to the invention, and that a routineer could make and use the invention without undue experimentation, is considered to be persuasive and the §112 rejection is withdrawn.

Claims 15-23, 25-26 and 38-45 are withdrawn from further Consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Election of Group II, claims 30-37, was made without traverse in Paper No. 14.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 30-31, 33 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Krechel et al. Krechel et al show a gas cylinder valve with inlet 9, filler check valve 13, discharge 31, valve 41 and actuator 56. The valve of Krechel et al is inherently "adapted", or fit, to be used with a filling station that is "capable of being automated".

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Krechel et al in view of Sakai et al. Krechel et al show the claimed valve except for a check valve in the discharge line. Sakai et al teach an outlet check valve 13 to prevent back flow into the tank when the main valve is open. It

Serial Number: 09/445945 -3-

Art Unit: 3753

would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such a check valve in the valve of Krechel et al.

Claims 34 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Krechel et al. Making the discharge 31 of Krechel et al integral with the main body is considered to be an obvious variation thereof. Making the inlet and the outlet of the same size and shape is considered to be an obvious matter of design choice.

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Krechel et al in view of Oxley et al. Krechel et al show the claimed invention except for the groove on the filling union. Oxley et al shows an automatic cylinder filling apparatus which includes a groove 62 on the filling union of the cylinder to latch to the filling head. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such a groove on the filling union of Krechel et al to provide for the use of an automatic filling head such as taught by Oxley et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Fox at (703) 308-2595 or John.Fox@uspto.gov. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861. The fax number for Art Unit 3753 is (703) 308-7765. The Supervisory Primary Examiner for Art

Serial Number: 09/445945

Art Unit: 3753

Unit 3753 is Michael Buiz who can be reached at (703) 308-2580 or at Michael.Buiz@uspto.gov.

JOHN FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3753

-4-

jcf November 19, 2002